Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/531,173	TORII, KAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ELENI A. SHIFERAW	2436
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) ELENI A. SHIFERAW.	(3)	
(2) Brian Klock.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
,		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
Rejection(s) discussed.		
Claims discussed: 1, 3-7, and 9-19		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Eleni A Shiferaw/ Examiner, Art Unit 2436 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/531,173

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the applicant's undersigned attorney, Brian L. Klock to include dependent claim to independent claims to base claims to particulary pointout the invention and clarify 101 problem and fix typo, Brian L. Clock authorized the examiner to amend the claims by examiner's amendment as shown below.